

Carsten Kutzner Theoretical & Computational Biophysics MPI for biophysical Chemistry

# SAVING MONEY WITH GR MACS

**Cost-efficient MD simulations 2018** 

# MOTIVATION

- from a fixed hardware budget ...
- ... produce as much MD trajectory as possible!
- use tax payer's money responsibly
- therefore, measure MD performance and get hardware prices in an ongoing effort

Currency Converter	X
Currency I have:	Currency I want:
Euro Us Dollar British Pound	.xtc .tng .trr
1	0.72 ns
	Go

# OUTLINE



- RECAP: what were our conclusions in 2014/15?
- UPDATE: hardware & software developments + their impact

# WHAT IS THE 'OPTIMAL' HARDWARE TO BUY?

#### WHAT DO YOU WANT?

general-purpose cluster for all kinds of applications

- ► large RAM
- GROMACS uses 250 MB 1 GB of CPU RAM per process
- nodes connected by a high-performance network
- ▶ double-prec. GPU performance
- ▶ large GPU memory

even a 2M atom system requires only 225 MB GPU RAM

#### WHAT CAN WE SPARE?



# FIND OPTIMAL HARDWARE FOR GROMACS!

#### Our criteria:



- 1. high performance-to-price (P/P) ratio
- 2. low energy consumption
- 3. reasonably high single-node performance
- 4. low rack space requirements

# **2014 EVALUATION SUMMARY**

- get prices + benchmark GROMACS performance for >50 hardware configurations
- ♦ 12 CPU types + 13 GPU types
- 2 benchmark systems:
  - MEM 80k atoms
  - RIB 2 M atoms
- on each hardware determined fastest settings for running one simulation
  - # MPI ranks
  - # OpenMP threads
  - # separate PME ranks





#### 2018 What's New?

- 1. Hardware: GPUs with higher performance
- 2. Software:PME can be offloaded to the GPU (among many other features!)
- 3. Benchmarks: (Slight) change of protocol

#### 1. HARDWARE DEVELOPMENTS— GPUS 2014...2018





GPU model



## **1. HARDWARE: BUILDING A GPU NODE**





#### 2. SOFTWARE: GPU OFFLOADING SCHEMES



• if a run is CPU-bound, more GPU power won't shorten the time step

## 2. SOFTWARE: PME ON GPU



# 2. SOFTWARE: PME ON GPU

cluster health check using 80k atoms MEM benchmark



## **3. BENCHMARK PROTOCOL CHANGE – WHY?**

We don't want to penalize the aggregation of compute power (which may offer price and rack space savings!)

How do we measure the performance of a node? Ideally we get:



#### **RESULTS 2018**

#### **PERFORMANCE TO PRICE 2018**



#### 4-YEAR PERFORMANCE TO PRICE DEVELOPMENT 2014...2018



# **ENERGY EFFICIENCY**

#### ADD ENERGY COSTS TO THE BILL



## ADD ENERGY COSTS TO THE BILL

node costs taking into account energy + cooling (0.2 EUR / kWh) RIB



## ADD ENERGY COSTS TO THE BILL

node costs taking into account energy + cooling (0.2 EUR / kWh) RIB



## **ENERGY EFFICIENCY**

#### trajectory costs per microsecond RIB for 5 years of operation



## **ENERGY EFFICIENCY**

#### trajectory costs per microsecond RIB for 5 years of operation



## CONCLUSIONS

- compared to CPU nodes or nodes with Tesla GPUs, nodes with consumer GPUs yield significantly higher trajectory output per invested Euro
  - ◆ taking into account raw node price: 2—3 x for GROMACS 4.6, and 3—6 x for GROMACS 2018
  - including energy costs: about
    2 x for GROMACS 4.6, and
    3 x for GROMACS 2018
- ◆ PME on GPU...
  - ...moves the optimal hardware balance even more towards the GPU side (4-8 cores / 1080Ti)
  - ...allows to cheaply upgrade old nodes with state-of-the-art GPUs!



# OUTLOOK

- upgrade old E5-2670v2 nodes (2x 10 cores
  @ 2.8 GHz) with 2 or 4 GTX 1080Ti.
- benchmark configurations with AMD GPUs (e.g. VEGA64)
- want to compare your own hardware and contribute to benchmarking?
   <u>https://www.mpibpc.mpg.de/grubmueller/</u>
   <u>bench</u> has various benchmark .tprs for download (CC licensed, also FE benchmarks)
- keep an updated list of benchmark results for the most recent GROMACS version



#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS





- the Grubmüller department
  - Martin Fechner, Ansgar Esztermann
- Szilard Pall (KTH)
- ♦ Markus Rampp (MPCDF)