Non-adiabatic simulation of charge transfer in biomolecules

 $\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_1 \ T_{12} \ T_{13} \ \dots \ T_{1n} \\ T_{21} \ \varepsilon_2 \ T_{23} \ \dots \ T_{2n} \end{array}$

 $T_{31} T_{32} \epsilon_3 \dots T_{3n}$

 $T_{n1} T_{n2} T_{n3} \dots E_n$

Tomáš Kubař, Ben Woiczikowski, Tom Steinbrecher and Marcus Elstner Institute for Physical Chemistry, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology tomas.kubar@kit.edu



Coarse-graining – charge carriers



Excess charge (electron / hole) – described with a wave packet $\Psi = a_1 \phi_1 + a_2 \phi_2 + a_3 \phi_3 + \dots = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots)$ Charge on a carrier: $q_i = |a_i|^2 \cdot Q$ Total charge: $Q = \sum_i q_i$

Coarse-grained Hamiltonian

site energy ε_i

 ionization potential (hole xfer) – electron affinity (electron xfer) electronic coupling T_{ii}

– approximated by Hamiltonian elements between HOMOs/LUMOs

evaluated with quantum chemistry SCC-DFTB – efficient approx. DFT

Key ideas

2nd-order expansion of energy in density $E = E_0 + E_1 + E_2$ E_o – energy of neutral system $E_{1} = \sum_{i} a_{i}^{*} a_{i} \cdot \varepsilon_{i} + \sum_{i \neq j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j} \cdot T_{ij}$ - includes within ε_{i} the interaction of charge with environment $E_2 = \sum_i q_i^2 \cdot U_i + \sum_{i \neq j} q_i q_j / R_{ij}$ – involves the Hubbard parameter U (chemical hardness of charge carriers)

Self-interaction error

pronounced for radical systems in DFT correction – scaling with a constant < 1 $E_2' = C \cdot E_2$ $C \approx 0.2$

improves the distribution of charge



Interaction of charge with environment Hamiltonian evaluated with QM/MM – environment involved as point charges E_1 contains the contribution " $\Delta E_{OM/MM}$ "



Multi-scale simulation scheme



i) Classical MD simulation describes the entire molecular system ii) Quantum chemistry » coarse-grained Hamiltonian iii) Propagation of excess charge with this Hamiltonian

Propagation – various non-adiabatic schemes: TD-DFT based – Ehrenfest dynamics: numerical solution of time-dep. Schrödinger eqn. Surface hopping: SCF procedure with diagonalization of Hamiltonian + simple diabatic surface hopping Mixed DFT:





SCF procedure with diagonalization of Hamiltonian + mixing of eigenstates with Fermi–Dirac distrib.

Application – hole transfer in poly(A) DNA

TD-DFT based simulation Strongly delocalized hole is flowing along the strand



Mixing of states w/ F-D distro Even more confined hole is transfering more slowly

"Standard" adiabatic QM/MM Confined hole, slow xfer Difficult convergence!

START



1. TK, ME: Coarse-Grained Time-Dependent Density Functional Simulation of Charge Transfer in Complex Systems: Application to Hole Transfer in DNA. JPCB 114, 11221-11240 (2010).

- 2. TK, U. Kleinekathöfer, ME: Solvent Fluctuations Drive the Hole Transfer in DNA: A Mixed Quantum-Classical Study. JPCB 113, 13107-13117 (2009).
- 3. TK, ME: Solvent Reorganization Energy of Hole Transfer in DNA. JPCB 113, 5653-5656 (2009).
- 4. TK, ME: What governs the charge transfer in DNA? The role of DNA conformation and environment. JPCB 112, 8788-8798 (2008).

Surface hopping

Spatially confined hole is

- 5. TK, BW, G. Cuniberti, ME: Efficient calculation of charge-transfer matrix elements for hole transfer in DNA. JPCB 112, 7937-7947 (2008).
- 6. T. A. Niehaus, D. Heringer, B. Torralva, T. Frauenheim. Importance of electronic self-consistency in the TDDFT based treatment of nonadiabatic molecular dynamics. Eur. Phys. J. D 35, 467-477 (2005).

Supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (projects DFG-EL 206/5-x)